

**§ 660.515**

**23 CFR Ch. I (4-1-03 Edition)**

the same standards as the agency having jurisdiction is currently using for other comparable highways under similar conditions in the area. In general, where the agency having jurisdiction does not have established standards, the design shall conform to American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) standards. Should local agencies desire higher standards than are currently being used for other comparable highways under similar conditions in the area, they shall finance the increases in cost.

(b) Access roads to temporary military establishments or for service to workers temporarily engaged in construction of defense installations should be designed to the minimum standards necessary to provide service for a limited period without intolerable congestion and hazard. As a guide, widening to more than two lanes generally will not be undertaken to accommodate anticipated one-way, peak-hour traffic of less than 1,200 vehicles per hour and resurfacing or strengthening of existing pavements will be held to the minimum type having the structural integrity to carry traffic for the short period of anticipated use.

**§ 660.515 Project administration.**

(a) Determination of the agency best able to accomplish the location, design, and construction of the projects covered by this regulation will be made by the FHWA Division Administrator after consultation with the State and/or local highway agency within whose jurisdiction the highway lies. When an agency other than the State or local highway agency is selected to administer the project, the Division Administrator will be responsible during the life of the project for any necessary coordination between the selected agency and the State or local highway agency.

(b) Defense access road projects under the supervision of a State or local highway agency, whether on or off the Federal-aid system, shall be administered in accordance with Federal-aid procedures, as modified specifically herein or as limited by the delegations of authority to Regional and Division Administrators, unless approval of other procedures has been obtained

from Washington Headquarters Office of Direct Federal Programs (HDF-1).

(c) The Division Administrator shall have a firm commitment from the State or local highway agency, within whose jurisdiction the access road lies, that it will accept the responsibility for maintenance of the completed facility before authorization of acquisition of right-of-way or construction of a project.

(d) When defense access road funds are available for a pro-rata portion of the total project cost, the remaining portion of the project may be funded as a Federal-aid project if on a Federal-aid route. Defense access road funds shall not be substituted for the State's matching share of the Federal-aid portion of a project.

**§ 660.517 Maneuver area roads.**

(a) Claims by a highway agency for costs incurred to restore, to their former condition, roads damaged by maneuvers involving a military force at least equal in strength to a ground division or an air wing will be paid from funds appropriated for the maneuver and transferred to FHWA by the DOD agency. Defense access road funds may be used to reimburse the highway authority pending transfer of funds by the DOD agency.

(b) Costs incurred by State or local highway authorities while conducting a pre- or post-condition survey may be included in the claim to DOD for direct settlement or in the damage repair project as appropriate.

**§ 660.519 Missile installations and facilities.**

Should damage occur to public highways as a result of construction activities, the contractor would normally be held responsible for restoring the damages. However, should the contractor deny responsibility on the basis of contract terms, restoration is provided for under 23 U.S.C. 210(h).

(a) *Restoration under the contract.* (1) The highway agency having jurisdiction over the road shall take appropriate actions, such as load and speed restrictions, to protect the highway. When extensive damage is anticipated and the contractor under the terms of the contract is responsible, it may be

necessary to require a performance bond to assure restoration.

(2) If the contractor does not properly maintain the roads when requested in writing, the highway agency having jurisdiction over the road shall perform extraordinary maintenance as necessary to keep the roads serviceable and maintain adequate supporting records of the work performed. Claims shall be presented to the contractor for this extraordinary maintenance and any other work required to restore the roads. If the contractor denies responsibility on the basis of the contract terms, the claim with the required supporting documentation shall be presented to the contracting officer for disposition and arrangement for reimbursement.

(b) *Restoration under 23 U.S.C. 210(h).*

(1) To implement 23 U.S.C. 210(h), DOD must make the determination that a contractor for a missile installation or facility did not include in the bid the cost of repairing damage caused to public highways by the operation of the contractor's vehicles and equipment. The FHWA must then make the determination that the State highway agency is, or has been, unable to prevent such damage by restrictions upon the use of the highways without interference with, or delay in, the completion of the contract. If these determinations are made, the Division Administrator will be authorized by the Washington Headquarters to reimburse the highway agency for the cost of the work necessary to keep the roads in a serviceable condition.

(2) Upon receipt of a damage claim, division office representatives accompanied by representatives of the agencies that made the original condition survey will inspect the roads on which damage is claimed. The Division Administrator shall then prepare an estimate of the cost of restoring the roads to original condition as well as any documented cost for extraordinary maintenance for which reimbursement has not been received. No allowance for upgrading the roads shall be included.

## PART 661—INDIAN RESERVATION ROAD BRIDGE PROGRAM

Sec.

- 661.1 What is the purpose of this regulation?
- 661.3 Who must comply with this regulation?
- 661.5 What definitions apply to this regulation?
- 661.7 What is the Indian Reservation Road Bridge Program (IRRBP)?
- 661.9 How will the bridge project be funded/programmed once eligibility has been determined?
- 661.11 After a bridge project has been completed what happens with the excess or surplus funding?
- 661.13 What restrictions are there on the use of the IRRBP funds?
- 661.15 What is the total funding available for the IRR Bridge Program?
- 661.17 When will these funds become available?
- 661.19 When does an eligible project receive funding?
- 661.21 How long will these funds be available?
- 661.23 What can these IRR bridge funds be used for?
- 661.25 What are the criteria for bridge eligibility?
- 661.27 When is a bridge eligible for replacement?
- 661.29 When is a bridge eligible for rehabilitation?
- 661.31 How does ownership impact project selection?
- 661.33 Do IRRBP projects have to be on a transportation improvement program (TIP)?
- 661.35 What percent of the funding in any fiscal year is available for use on BIA owned IRR bridges and non-BIA owned IRR bridges?
- 661.37 What percent of a specific project's construction costs is covered under this program?
- 661.39 When are IRR bridge projects eligible for funding?
- 661.41 What does a complete application package consist of?
- 661.43 How are the FY 1998 projects to be treated?
- 661.45 How is a list of deficient bridges to be generated?
- 661.47 In the event of project cost over runs, how would they be funded?
- 661.49 Could regular IRR funds be used to fund a bridge project?
- 661.51 Could bridge maintenance be performed with these funds?

AUTHORITY: 23 U.S.C. 120(j) and (k), 202, and 315; 49 CFR 1.48.