

of \$150 million worth of producing coal reserves plus \$47 million worth of non-exempt assets and N's assets consist of a producing coal mine worth \$100 million together with non-exempt assets with a fair market value of \$36 million. "X's" acquisition of the voting securities of M was exempt under § 802.4(a) because M held exempt assets pursuant to § 802.3(b) and less than \$50 million of non-exempt assets. Because "X" acquired control of M in the earlier transaction, M is now within the person of "X," and the assets of M need not be aggregated with those of N to determine if the subsequent acquisition of N will exceed the limitation for coal reserves or for non-exempt assets. Since the assets of N alone do not exceed these limitations, "X's" acquisition of N also is not reportable.

7. In Example 6, above, assume that "X" acquired 30 percent of the voting securities of M and proposes to acquire 40 percent of the voting securities of N, another entity controlled by "Z." Assume also that M's assets at the time of "X's" acquisition of M's voting securities consisted of \$90 million worth of producing coal reserves and non-exempt assets with a fair market value of \$39 million, and that N's assets currently consist of \$60 million worth of producing coal reserves and non-exempt assets with a fair market value of \$28 million. Since "X" acquired a minority interest in M and intends to acquire a minority interest in N, and since M and N are controlled by "Z," the assets of M and N must be aggregated, pursuant to §§ 801.15(b) and 801.13, to determine whether the acquisition of N's voting securities is exempt. "X" is required to determine the current fair market value of M's assets. If the fair market value of M's coal reserves is unchanged, the aggregated exempt assets do not exceed the limitation for coal reserves. However, if the present fair market value of N's non-exempt assets also is unchanged, the present fair market value of the non-exempt assets of M and N when aggregated is greater than \$50 million. Thus the acquisition of the voting securities of N is not exempt. If "X" proposed to acquire 50 percent or more of the voting securities of both M and N in the same acquisition, the assets of M and N must be aggregated to determine if the acquisition of the voting securities of both issuers is exempt. Since the fair market value of the aggregated non-exempt assets exceeds \$50 million, the acquisition would not be exempt.

8. "A" acquired 49 percent of the voting securities of M and 45 percent of the voting securities of N. Both M and N are controlled by "B." At the time of the acquisition M held rights to producing coal reserves worth \$90 million and N held a producing coal mine worth \$90 million. This acquisition was exempt since the aggregated holdings fell below the \$200 million limitation for coal in § 802.3(b). A year later, "A" proposes to ac-

quire an additional 10 percent of the voting securities of both M and N. In the intervening year, M has acquired coal reserves so that its holdings are now valued at \$140 million, and the value of N's assets remained unchanged. "A's" second acquisition would not be exempt. "A" is required to determine the value of the exempt assets and any non-exempt assets held by any issuer whose voting securities it intends to acquire before each proposed acquisition (unless "A" already owns 50 percent or more of the voting securities of the issuer) to determine if the value of those holdings of the issuer falls below the limitation of the applicable exemption. Here, an assessment shows that the holdings of M and N now exceed the \$200 million limitation for coal reserves in § 802.3.

[43 FR 33537, July 31, 1978, as amended at 52 FR 7081, Mar. 6, 1987; 61 FR 13684, Mar. 28, 1996; 66 FR 8689, Feb. 1, 2001]

#### § 801.20 Acquisitions subsequent to exceeding threshold.

Acquisitions meeting the criteria of section 7A(a), and not otherwise exempted by section 7A(c) or § 802.21 or any other of these rules, are subject to the requirements of the act even though:

(a) Earlier acquisitions of assets or voting securities may have been subject to the requirements of the act;

(b) The acquiring person's holdings initially may have met or exceeded a notification threshold before the effective date of these rules; or

(c) The acquiring person's holdings initially may have met or exceeded a notification threshold by reason of increases in market values or events other than acquisitions.

*Examples:* 1. Person "A" acquires \$10 million of the voting securities of person "B" before the effective date of these rules. If "A" wishes to acquire an additional \$41 million of the voting securities of "B" after the effective date of the rules, notification will be required by reason of Section 7A(a)(2).

2. In example 1, assume that the value of the voting securities of "B" originally acquired by "A" has reached a present value exceeding \$50 million. If "A" wishes to acquire any additional voting securities or assets of "B," notification will be required. See § 801.13(a).

[43 FR 33537, July 31, 1978, as amended at 66 FR 8690, Feb. 1, 2001]