

the thyroid, and if the combined radiation dose at the low population zone outer boundary from purging and the postulated LOCA calculated in accordance with §100.11(a)(2) of this chapter is less than 25 rem to the whole body and less than 300 rem to the thyroid, only a purging system is necessary, provided that the purging system and any filtration system associated with it are designed to conform with the general requirements of Criteria 41, 42, and 43 of appendix A to this part. Otherwise the facility shall be provided with another type of combustible gas control system (a repressurization system is acceptable) designed to conform with the general requirements of Criteria 41, 42, and 43 of appendix A to this part. If a purge system is used as part of the repressurization system, the purge system shall be designed to conform with the general requirements of Criteria 41, 42, and 43 of appendix A to this part. The containment shall not be repressurized beyond 50 percent of the containment design pressure.

(g) For facilities with respect to which the notice of hearing on the application for a construction permit was published on or before December 22, 1968, if the combined radiation dose at the low population zone outer boundary from purging (and repressurization if a repressurization system is provided) and the postulated LOCA calculated in accordance with §100.11(a)(2) of this chapter is less than 25 rem to the whole body and less than 300 rem to the thyroid, only a purging system is necessary, provided that the purging system and any filtration system associated with it are designed to conform with the general requirements of Criteria 41, 42, and 43 of appendix A to this part. Otherwise, the facility shall be provided with another type of combustible gas control system (a repressurization system is acceptable) designed to conform with the general requirements of Criteria 41, 42, and 43 of appendix A to this part. If a purge system is used as part of the repressurization system, it shall be designed to conform with the general requirements of Criteria 41, 42, and 43 of appendix A to this part. The containment shall not be repressurized beyond

50 percent of the containment design pressure.

(h) As used in this section: (1) Degradation, but not total failure, of emergency core cooling functioning means that the performance of the emergency core cooling system is postulated, for purposes of design of the combustible gas control system, not to meet the acceptance criteria in §50.46 and that there could be localized clad melting and metal-water reaction to the extent postulated in paragraph (d) of this section. The degree of performance degradation is not postulated to be sufficient to cause core meltdown.

(2) A combustible gas control system is a system that operates after a LOCA to maintain the concentrations of combustible gases within the containment, such as hydrogen, below flammability limits. Combustible gas control systems are of two types: (i) Systems that allow controlled release from containment, through filters if necessary, such as purging systems and repressurization systems, and (ii) systems that do not result in a significant release from containment such as recombiners.

(3) A purging system is a system for the controlled release of the containment atmosphere to the environment through filters if needed.

(4) A repressurization system is a system used to dilute the concentration of combustible gas within containment by adding inert gas or air to the containment. Dilution of the combustible gas results in a delay in time until a flammable concentration is reached and permits fission product decay. Operation is limited to a containment repressurization to 50 percent of the containment design pressure. A purging system is normally part of the repressurization system.

[43 FR 50163, Oct. 27, 1978, as amended at 46 FR 58486, Dec. 2, 1981; 50 FR 3504, Jan. 25, 1985; 50 FR 5567, Feb. 11, 1985; 51 FR 40308, Nov. 6, 1986; 53 FR 43420, Oct. 27, 1988; 57 FR 39358, Aug. 31, 1992, 61 FR 39299, July 29, 1996; 64 FR 48951, Sept. 9, 1999]

#### § 50.45 Standards for construction permits.

An applicant for a license or an amendment of a license who proposes to construct or alter a production or utilization facility will be initially

granted a construction permit, if the application is in conformity with and acceptable under the criteria of §§ 50.31 through 50.38 and the standards of §§ 50.40 through 50.43.

**§ 50.46 Acceptance criteria for emergency core cooling systems for light-water nuclear power reactors.**

(a)(1)(i) Each boiling or pressurized light-water nuclear power reactor fueled with uranium oxide pellets within cylindrical zircaloy or ZIRLO cladding must be provided with an emergency core cooling system (ECCS) that must be designed so that its calculated cooling performance following postulated loss-of-coolant accidents conforms to the criteria set forth in paragraph (b) of this section. ECCS cooling performance must be calculated in accordance with an acceptable evaluation model and must be calculated for a number of postulated loss-of-coolant accidents of different sizes, locations, and other properties sufficient to provide assurance that the most severe postulated loss-of-coolant accidents are calculated. Except as provided in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section, the evaluation model must include sufficient supporting justification to show that the analytical technique realistically describes the behavior of the reactor system during a loss-of-coolant accident. Comparisons to applicable experimental data must be made and uncertainties in the analysis method and inputs must be identified and assessed so that the uncertainty in the calculated results can be estimated. This uncertainty must be accounted for, so that, when the calculated ECCS cooling performance is compared to the criteria set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, there is a high level of probability that the criteria would not be exceeded. Appendix K, Part II Required Documentation, sets forth the documentation requirements for each evaluation model. This section does not apply to a nuclear power reactor facility for which the certifications required under § 50.82(a)(1) have been submitted.

(ii) Alternatively, an ECCS evaluation model may be developed in conformance with the required and accept-

able features of appendix K ECCS Evaluation Models.

(2) The Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation may impose restrictions on reactor operation if it is found that the evaluations of ECCS cooling performance submitted are not consistent with paragraphs (a)(1) (i) and (ii) of this section.

(3)(i) Each applicant for or holder of an operating license or construction permit shall estimate the effect of any change to or error in an acceptable evaluation model or in the application of such a model to determine if the change or error is significant. For this purpose, a significant change or error is one which results in a calculated peak fuel cladding temperature different by more than 50° F from the temperature calculated for the limiting transient using the last acceptable model, or is a cumulation of changes and errors such that the sum of the absolute magnitudes of the respective temperature changes is greater than 50° F.

(ii) For each change to or error discovered in an acceptable evaluation model or in the application of such a model that affects the temperature calculation, the applicant or licensee shall report the nature of the change or error and its estimated effect on the limiting ECCS analysis to the Commission at least annually as specified in § 50.4. If the change or error is significant, the applicant or licensee shall provide this report within 30 days and include with the report a proposed schedule for providing a reanalysis or taking other action as may be needed to show compliance with § 50.46 requirements. This schedule may be developed using an integrated scheduling system previously approved for the facility by the NRC. For those facilities not using an NRC approved integrated scheduling system, a schedule will be established by the NRC staff within 60 days of receipt of the proposed schedule. Any change or error correction that results in a calculated ECCS performance that does not conform to the criteria set forth in paragraph (b) of this section is a reportable event as described in §§ 50.55(e), 50.72 and 50.73. The affected